	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	10/085,445	ERYUREK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thomas K Pham	2121
The MAILING DATE of this communication app All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85 NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT R of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in) or other appropriate commu IGHTS. This application is s	this application. If not included inication will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to <u>after final amendment</u>	nt_filed_3/2/05.	
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-35</u> .		• .
3. \boxtimes The drawings filed on <u>28 February 2002</u> are accepted by t	he Examiner.	•
 4. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority u a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority do International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received:	e been received. e been received in Applicatio	n No
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		a reply complying with the requirements
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which giv		
6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner Paper No./Mail Date	son's Patent Drawing Review 	
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in t		
7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT		
 Attachment(s) 1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/O Paper No./Mail Date	6. Interview St Paper No./ 08), 7. Examiner's	formal Patent Application (PTO-152) Jummary (PTO-413), Mail Date Amendment/Comment Statement of Reasons for Allowance
·		

Reasons for Allowance

- 1. Claims 1-35 are allowed.
- 2. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

While Kobayashi (Patent No. 5,533,413) discloses an equipment diagnosis system for diagnosing the functional state, the deterioration state, the remaining life time state of various equipment. The system compares a model of remaining life time of the equipment with the actual measurement at the time of maintenance. The comparison result is use to correct the model of remaining life time. Kobayashi does not teach comparing the estimated model with a predetermined desired level of degradation.

Beaverstock (Patent No. 5,134,574) teaches performance measurements of a process plant dynamically during operations of the plant. The system calculates a dynamic performance measures using mathematical algorithms. The calculated values then compare to predetermined target values in order to obtain the basis for the dynamic performance of interest. Beaverstock does not discuss level of degradation associated with the process plant.

Buhrow (Newly cited reference USPN 4,998,208) teaches a piping corrosion monitoring system utilizes data concerning corrosion rates, corrosion-affecting factors, and the likelihood and extent of damage might caused by piping failure to generate a realistic schedule for inspection. The system uses test cases results to compare with predetermined maximum point long rate and predetermined maximum point short rate in order to provide the amount of time needed for the pipe to corrode to its service limit.

And Sparago (Newly cited reference USPN 6,047,241) teaches selective corrosion rate analysis for generating inspection and replacement schedules for a fluid processing plant. The

Art Unit: 2121

system established the corrosion data for each thickness measurement location and running the data through a plurality of corrosion engineering models. Finally, selecting the best fitting corrosion engineering model for generating inspection and replacement schedules for the plant.

None of these references taken either alone or in combination discloses a method and device adapted to use a degradation level of a process entity within a process plant having all the claimed features of applicant's instant invention, specifically including: comparing the estimated level of degradation of the process entity at the first time to a predetermined desired level of degradation of the process entity at the first time; and altering the operation of the process entity at a second time after the first time to be approximately equal to a predetermined desired level of degradation of the process entity at the second time, wherein the predetermined desired level of degradation of the process entity at the second time is greater than the predetermined desired level of degradation of the process entity at the first time. In addition, the comparison result can also be use to produce an index defining a utilization amount of the process entity which is used for altering the operation of the process entity. Also, there is no motivation to combine the references to meet these limitations. It is for these reasons that applicant's invention defines over the prior art of record.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Application/Control Number: 10/085,445

Art Unit: 2121

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to examiner Thomas Pham; whose telephone number is (571) 272-3689, Monday to Thursday

from 6:30 AM - 5:00 PM EST or contact Supervisor Mr. Anthony Knight at (571) 272-3687.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thomas Pham

Patent Examiner

77

March 11, 2005

Anthony Knight

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3600